

## Broker-Dealer SEC Regulatory Update

**Broker-dealers face a tsunami of new regulations in 2024 and beyond. This paper highlights newly effective regulations and provides an overview of three final rules issued to date in 2024, along with updates on significant outstanding proposals.**

---

### Legal Challenges

#### Consolidated Audit Trail (CAT)

The CAT was created in 2012 after the May 2010 flash crash replacing the Order Audit Trail System, which had become outdated as exchanges became more interconnected and automated. CAT, also known as Rule 613, created “a comprehensive consolidated audit trail that would allow regulators to efficiently and accurately track all activity throughout the U.S. markets in National Market System (NMS) securities.” The regulation required all self-regulatory organizations (SROs) to jointly submit a plan—known as an NMS plan—to create, implement, and maintain a CAT. Key requirements include:

- Broker-dealers conducting business in the U.S. equity and options markets will be required to report order life-cycle events daily. This will include orders, quotes, cancellations, routes, and allocations.
- Firms will be required to provide internal unique identifiers to support the CAT linkage process.
- Almost all financial firms are in scope.

COVID-19 disrupted the implementation timeline and initial options reporting by large broker-dealers began in November 2019, followed by full customer and account reporting for smaller broker-dealers in July 2022.

Initially, CAT operations were paid for by the SROs. In September 2023, the SEC approved funding updates whereby exchanges and alternative trading systems (ATs) will pay one-third, while executing brokers for buyers and sellers pay the remaining two-thirds. Executing brokers are permitted to pass along these costs to investors. [Annual operating costs](#) that were estimated at \$51 million in 2012 grew to an estimated more than \$200 million in 2023.

In October 2023, Citadel Securities and the American Securities Association challenged the funding changes. On April 15, 2024, the SEC filed its response defending the changes. On April 16, 2024, the National Center for Public Policy Research challenged the SEC’s legal authority in creating the CAT and requested to have the CAT declared void and its databases expunged.

#### Dealer Definition

The SEC’s February 2024 update to the dealer definition (details below) has united both crypto champions and hedge funds in a series of legal challenges, which began shortly after the final rule was issued. In March, three hedge fund groups filed a complaint that the SEC exceeded its authority in updating the dealer definition. On April 23, 2024, the Blockchain Association and Crypto Freedom filed suit alleging that the SEC did not substantially engage with how the rule would apply to the cryptocurrency industry.

An SEC spokesperson said in an email that the SEC will vigorously defend the challenged rules in court.

**FORsights™**

**Broker-Dealer SEC Regulatory Update**

Forvis Mazars will continue to monitor these legal developments. Given the implementation time frame, companies should begin to educate themselves on these new requirements.

**Financial Stability Report**

**Overall Risks**

The Federal Reserve’s [April 2024 Financial Stability Report](#) highlighted the following near-term risks to the financial system:



- Higher-for-longer interest rates in the U.S. and other advanced economies could create strains in the global financial system.
- A worsening of global geopolitical tensions could lead to broad adverse spillovers.
- Weakness in economic activity could compound existing strains in real estate markets, both domestically and abroad, and could amplify risks to the global financial system.

**Broker-Dealers**

The report also noted that risks posed to the financial system by broker-dealer leverage remained low. Leverage ratio stood near historically low levels as dealer equity kept up with the continued expansion in assets. Fixed income, rates, and credit trading profits decreased but were offset by equity increases. Net secured borrowing of primary dealers declined somewhat but remained elevated overall and in line with net positions.


**Insufficient intermediation capacity during periods of stress remained a structural vulnerability.**

In the [March 2024 Senior Credit Officer Opinion Survey on Dealer Financing Terms](#), dealers reported that financial leverage and terms on securities financing transactions and over-the-counter derivatives remained roughly unchanged. Survey results pointed to a tightening of financing terms and weakening of liquidity in the office commercial mortgage-backed securities market, as collateral quality has weakened and demand for funding has increased.



## Broker-Dealer SEC Regulatory Update

**Figure 3.9. Equities increased further as a share of trading profits in the most recent data**



Source: Federal Reserve Board, Reporting, Recordkeeping, and Disclosure Requirements Associated with Regulation VV (Proprietary Trading and Certain Interests in and Relationships with Covered Funds, 12 C.F.R. pt. 248).

## Regulatory Agenda

At the time of publication, the most up-to-date reg flex agenda was published in the **Federal Register** in December 2023. Most items were carried forward from previous agendas.

**Given that this is an election year, any final rules issued by the SEC after May would be subject to the Congressional Review Act and subject to being overturned depending on voting outcomes.**

### Fall 2023 Reg Flex Agenda – Division of Trading & Markets

Planned Proposals	Planned Final Rules
Single stock exchange-traded funds	CAT data security
Regulation D improvements	Clearing agency resilience
Regulation ATS modernization	Exchange definition
<i>The SEC is not precluded from considering or acting on any matter not included in the agenda, and an agency is not required to consider or act on any matter that is included in the agenda.</i>	Regulation best execution
	Regulation NMS – tick size
	Order competition
	Cybersecurity broker-dealers
	Regulation SCI
	Daily 15c3-3 lockup
	Predictive data

## Broker-Dealer SEC Regulatory Update

### Final Rules Issued in 2024

#### 1. Dealer Definition

On February 6, 2024, the SEC approved [updates](#) to the dealer definition that will expand the scope of market participants required to register with the SEC as dealers. The changes clarify “in the regular course of business” and will capture roughly 40 principal trading firms, as well as private funds and even some crypto asset trading. Absent an exception or exemption, in-scope entities must register with the SEC as a dealer, become a member of an SRO, and comply with federal securities laws and regulatory obligations. The rule exempts SEC-registered investment companies.

**Resource:** [SEC’s New Dealer Definition – Who’s Now in Scope?](#)



#### 2. Order Execution Disclosures

On March 6, 2024, the SEC issued a [final rule](#) updating order execution rules as follows:

- Expand the scope of entities subject to Rule 605 by requiring broker-dealers that introduce or carry 100,000 or more customer accounts, single-dealer platforms, and entities that would operate qualified auctions to make available to the public monthly execution quality reports.
- Amend the definition of “covered order” to include certain orders submitted outside of regular trading hours, orders submitted with stop prices, and nonexempt short sale orders.
- Recategorize required information, including changing the order type categories and order size categories to include fractional share orders, odd-lot orders, and larger-sized orders.
- Eliminate time-to-execution categories in favor of average time to execution, median time to execution, and 99th percentile time-to-execution statistics, each as measured in increments of a millisecond or finer.
- Amend the information required to be reported under the rule, including changing the realized spread statistics to 15-second and one-minute realized spread and requiring new statistical measures of execution quality that could be used to evaluate price improvement and size improvement for all order types, additional price improvement statistics for market and marketable order types, and certain statistical measures that could be used to measure execution quality of nonmarketable limit orders.
- Make a summary report available.



**FORsights™**

**Broker-Dealer SEC Regulatory Update**

**3. Regulation S-P, Privacy of Consumer Information**

On May 16, 2024, the SEC issued a [final rule](#) updating Regulation S-P (issued in 2000). The rule covers broker-dealers (including funding portals), investment companies, registered investment advisers (RIAs), and transfer agents. Changes include:

- Covered institutions must develop, implement, and maintain written policies and procedures for an incident response program to address unauthorized access to or use of customer information. The incident response program should be reasonably designed to detect, respond to, and recover from unauthorized access to or use of customer information.
- Covered institutions must have written policies and procedures to provide timely notification as soon as practicable (no later than 30 days after an incident) to affected individuals whose sensitive customer information was or is reasonably likely to have been accessed or used without authorization.
- Broadening the scope of information covered by Regulation S-P’s requirements.


**Resource:** [SEC Issues New Regulation S-P Rules](#)



FORsights™

Broker-Dealer SEC Regulatory Update

Final Rules on the Horizon



## FORsights™

### Broker-Dealer SEC Regulatory Update

#### 1. T+1 Settlement

The [final rule](#) reduces risks in the clearance and settlement of securities as follows:

- Shorten the standard settlement cycle for securities transactions from two business days after trade date (T+2) to one business day after trade date (T+1) on March 31, 2024.
- Eliminate the separate T+4 settlement cycle for firm commitment offerings priced after 4:30 p.m.
- Improve the processing of institutional trades by proposing new requirements for broker-dealers and RIAs intended to improve the rate of same-day affirmations.
- Facilitate straight-through processing by proposing new requirements applicable to clearing agencies that are central matching service providers.



#### 2. Security-Based Swaps (SBSs) Execution

On November 2, 2023, the SEC issued a [final rule](#) that:

- Creates a regime for the registration and regulation of SBS execution facilities (SBSEFs).
- Addresses various issues relating to the “trade execution requirement” for SBSs.
- Addresses conflicts of interest at SBSEFs and national securities exchanges (NSEs) that trade SBSs.
- Promotes consistency between SBSEFs’ rules and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.



#### 3. Exemption for Certain Exchange Members

On August 23, 2023, the SEC adopted [amendments](#) to an exemption from Section 15(b)(8) of the Exchange Act that requires any SEC-registered broker or dealer to become a member of a national securities association unless the broker or dealer effects transactions in securities solely on an exchange of which it is a member. The Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (FINRA) currently is the only registered national securities association.

Exchange Act Rule 15b9-1 provides an exemption from §15(b)(8) to certain proprietary trading dealers that effect securities transactions other than on an exchange where they are members. The amendments to Rule 15b9-1 set forth narrower exemptions to enhance FINRA oversight of firms that trade securities proprietarily across markets.

# FORsights™

## Broker-Dealer SEC Regulatory Update



### 4. Short Sales

On October 13, 2023, the SEC issued new [Rule 13f-2](#) and updated the CAT to increase market transparency on short activity on equity securities. Institutional investment managers must report certain short sale-related data to the SEC monthly, 14 days after month-end. At the end of the following month, the SEC would publicly report aggregate data about large short positions, including daily short sale activity for each individual security.

**Resource:** [SEC Finalizes New Short Sale Disclosures](#)



### 5. Securities Loans Reporting

On October 13, 2023, the SEC approved new [Rule 10c-1a](#) to increase transparency in the securities lending market by mandating disclosures for security lenders. This rule not only covers investment companies but also banks, insurers, and pension plans. Only 12 pieces of data are required, but the implementation effort is substantial.

**Resource:** [SEC New Disclosures on Securities Lending](#)



### 6. 144A Quotations

On November 30, 2022, the SEC issued a [no-action letter](#) stating that it will not bring enforcement actions under the September 2020 final rule, [Publication or Submission of Quotations Without Specified Information](#), for brokers and dealers that publish or submit quotations for fixed income securities that meet certain criteria until January 4, 2025.





**FORsights™**


**Broker-Dealer SEC Regulatory Update**

**Resource:** [SEC Again Delays Broker-Dealer Quotation Rules for Fixed Income Securities](#)

**7. Clearing Agency Governance & Conflicts of Interest**

On November 16, 2023, the SEC adopted [rules](#) to establish new governance requirements for all registered clearing agencies, including requirements:

- For independent directors and for the composition of a registered clearing agency’s board of directors, nominating committee, and risk management committee.
- To identify, mitigate, or eliminate conflicts of interest involving directors or senior managers and to document such actions.
- For policies and procedures that obligate directors to report conflicts of interest.
- For policies and procedures for the management of risks from relationships with service providers for core services.
- For policies and procedures for the board to solicit, consider, and document the views of participants and other relevant stakeholders.




**8. Treasury Clearing & Broker-Dealer Customer Protection Rule**

On December 13, 2023, the SEC issued a [final rule](#) expanding the use of central clearing for U.S. Treasury securities for secondary market transactions, including:

- All repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements collateralized by U.S. Treasury securities to which a direct participant is a counterparty.
- All purchase and sale transactions of U.S. Treasury for direct participants who are acting as interdealer brokers.
- All purchases and sales of U.S. Treasury securities between a direct participant and a registered broker-dealer, government securities dealer, or government securities broker; a hedge fund; and a levered account.

To address a jump in margin requirements resulting from increased central clearing, the proposal also would update the broker-dealer customer protection rules to permit margin required and on deposit at a covered clearing agency to be included as a debit item in the customer reserve formula, subject to certain conditions.



## Broker-Dealer SEC Regulatory Update

### 9. Conflicts of Interest – Securitization

On November 27, 2023, the SEC issued a [final rule](#) completing a Dodd-Frank Act mandate to prohibit conflicts of interest in securitizations. The rule covers an asset-backed security (ABS) and hybrid cash and synthetic ABS and applies to any underwriter, placement agent, initial purchaser, or ABS sponsor. The rule prohibits a securitization participant from entering a conflicted transaction for a period ending one year after the date of the first closing of the ABS' sale. Conflicted transactions are defined as follows:

- Transaction is:
  - A short sale of the ABS.
  - The purchase of a credit default swap or other credit derivative that entitles the securitization participant to receive payments upon the occurrence of specified credit events with respect to the ABS.
  - The purchase or sale of any financial instrument (other than the relevant ABS) or entry into a transaction that is substantially the economic equivalent of a transaction described in the first two bullet points above, other than—for the avoidance of doubt—any transaction that only hedges general interest rate or currency exchange risk.
- Materiality – Is there a substantial likelihood a reasonable investor would consider the relevant transaction important to the investor's investment decision, including a decision on whether to retain the ABS?

There are certain exceptions for hedging and risk management.



## C. Significant Outstanding 2023 Proposals

### 1. Cybersecurity Risk Management – Broker-Dealers & Other Market Participants

On March 15, 2023, the SEC issued a [proposal](#) that addresses cybersecurity risk management policies and procedures for broker-dealers, the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, clearing agencies, major SBS participants, national securities associations, NSEs, SBS data repositories, SBS dealers, and transfer agents (collectively, “market entities”). Under new Rule 10, all market entities must establish, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures that are reasonably designed to address their cybersecurity risks. At least annually, market entities also would be required to review and assess the design and effectiveness of their cybersecurity policies and procedures, including whether they reflect changes in cybersecurity risk over the review period. Covered entities also would need to give the SEC immediate written electronic notice of a significant cybersecurity incident upon having a reasonable basis to conclude that the significant cybersecurity incident had occurred or is occurring. New Form SCIR would require information about the entity's efforts to respond to—and recover from—the cyber incident and would be filed with the SEC and posted on the entity's website. Covered broker-dealers, subject to additional requirements, would include carrying and introducing broker-dealers, broker-dealers with regulatory capital equal to or exceeding \$50 million, broker-dealers with total assets equal to or exceeding \$1 billion, broker-dealers that operate as market makers, and broker-dealers that operate an ATS.

## Broker-Dealer SEC Regulatory Update

The SEC received 245 letters mostly supporting the proposal. Some respondents felt the scope was too broad and costly while others suggested the scope could be expanded.

### 2. Regulation System Compliance & Integrity (SCI)

Regulation SCI was adopted in 2014 to strengthen the technology infrastructure of the U.S. securities markets. Regulation SCI applies to certain entities and covers automated and similar systems that directly support any one of six key securities market functions—trading, clearance and settlement, order routing, market data, market regulation, or market surveillance—as well as systems that, if breached, would be reasonably likely to pose a security threat to SCI systems. These systems include those outsourced to third parties. The [proposed amendments](#) would expand the definition of SCI entities to include:

- Registered SBS data repositories.
- Broker-dealers registered with the commission under §15(b) that exceed a total assets threshold or a transaction activity threshold in NMS stocks, exchange-listed options, U.S. Treasury securities, or agency securities.
- All clearing agencies exempted from registration.

The proposal updates and strengthens Regulation SCI, including to:

- Specify that an SCI entity’s required policies and procedures include:
  - An inventory, classification, and life cycle management program for SCI systems and indirect SCI systems.
  - A program to manage and oversee third-party providers, including cloud service providers, that provide or support SCI or indirect SCI systems.
  - Business continuity and disaster recovery.
  - A program to prevent unauthorized access to SCI systems and information therein.
  - Identification of current SCI industry standards with which each such policy and procedure is consistent, if any.
- Amend the definition of “systems intrusion” to include additional types of cyber events and threats, which is intended to capture cybersecurity events such as certain distributed denial-of-service attacks, and require notification of systems intrusions to the SEC without delay.
- Update the SCI review to specify that objective personnel assess the risks to covered systems, internal control design and operating effectiveness, and third-party provider management risks and controls, and require penetration testing at least annually.
- Specify that SCI entities include key third-party providers in annual BC/DR testing.

The SEC received 40 letters with pushback from industry participants, most notably from large market makers and cloud providers who would be covered by the rule for the first time. While most agreed the data security is critically important to capital markets, the feedback said that the SEC’s “isolated and piecemeal approach to comprehensive rulemaking is substantively and procedurally flawed.” NYCE, CBOE, OCC, and DTCC weighed in with several suggestions for improving the SCI regulations.

## Broker-Dealer SEC Regulatory Update

### 3. Covered Clearing Agency (CCA) Resilience, Recovery, & Wind-Down

A May 17, 2023 [proposal](#) would amend the current rules on intraday margin and the use of substantive inputs to a CCA's risk-based margin system and add a new rule with nine specific requirements for a CCA's recovery and wind-down plan.

Seventeen comment letters were received. There was general support to codify existing guidance to be consistent with international standards, but there was pushback on the proposal's prescriptiveness and potential costs versus benefits on some requirements. The Intercontinental Exchange noted, "certain aspects are overly prescriptive and are unrealistic considering the practical limitations on a CCA's ability to plan for extreme loss scenarios." Several respondents requested additional time to review the SEC's proposal and the Commodity Futures Trading Commission proposal to help ensure consistency.

### 4. Daily 15c-3-3 Calculations

The July 12, 2023 [proposal](#) would require daily 15c-3-3 calculations and lockup for carrying broker-dealers with customer credits exceeding \$250 million.

**Resource:** [Daily 15c3-3 Calculations Coming Soon?](#)

The SEC received 70 comment letters. Investors almost universally supported the changes. There were several requests to reconsider the \$250 million threshold and tweaks to the on-ramp and off-ramp requirements. There was pushback on cost for smaller firms (most notably from SIFMA) and the impact of several other final rules to be implemented in the same time frame (most notably T+1 implementation).

### 5. Conflicts of Interest on Predictive Data Analytics Use by Broker-Dealers & Investment Advisers

On July 26, 2023, the SEC issued a [proposal](#) that would require:

- A firm to eliminate or neutralize the effect of conflicts of interest related to the firm's use of covered technologies in investor interactions that place the firm's or its associated person's interest ahead of investors' interests.
- Investment advisers and broker-dealers using covered technology must have written policies and procedures reasonably designed to comply with the proposal.
- Record-keeping related to the proposed conflicts rules.

"Covered technology" includes a firm's use of analytical, technological, or computational functions, algorithms, models, correlation matrices, or similar methods or processes that optimize for, predict, guide, forecast, or direct investment-related behaviors of an investor. This would generally apply to the use of a covered technology in a firm's engagement or communication with an investor, including by exercising discretion with respect to an investor's account, providing information to an investor, or soliciting an investor.

## FORsights™

## Broker-Dealer SEC Regulatory Update

One hundred and thirty-six comments were received with universally negative feedback noting that the changes would harm both investors and the trading markets. Many felt that some of the recent tech innovations have brought a younger and more diverse group of investors into the capital markets and on a path to long-term financial security and generational wealth. The academic community weighed in challenging the data used to support the proposed legislation. Others suggested that new disclosure would be more appropriate and a less costly approach to address conflicts of interest. Industry participants and trade groups cited the proposal's overly broad scope, existing regulatory protections, and the SEC's lack of statutory authority to make these changes.

## 6. Volume-Based Exchange Transaction Pricing for NMS Stock

On October 18, 2023, the SEC proposed Rule 6b-1 under the *Securities Exchange Act of 1934* to prohibit NSEs from offering volume-based transaction pricing in connection with the execution of agency-related orders in certain stocks. If exchanges offer such pricing for their members' proprietary orders, the proposal would require the exchanges to adopt rules and written policies and procedures related to compliance with the prohibition, as well as disclose—monthly—certain information, including the total number of members that qualified for each volume tier during the month.

---

*“Currently, the playing field upon which broker-dealers compete is unlevel,” said SEC Chair Gary Gensler. “Through volume-based transaction pricing, mid-sized and smaller broker-dealers effectively pay higher fees than larger brokers to trade on most exchanges. We have heard from a number of market participants that volume-based transaction pricing along with related market practices raise concerns about competition in the markets. I am pleased to support this proposal because it will elicit important public feedback on how the Commission can best promote competition amongst equity market participants.”*

---

Seventy-eight letters were received with evenly divided opinions. Those who opposed the changes felt that the outcome would be de facto price setting and inconsistent with the proposed changes to Regulation NMS for tick size. Proponents of the proposal felt the change would improve market competition between exchanges and brokers. Individual investors generally supported the end of volume-based rebate tiers and felt that the SEC also should focus on the broader issue of payment for order flow.

## D. 2022 & Earlier Outstanding Proposals

### 1. Regulation Best Execution

Currently, the SEC does not have a best execution rule; instead, SROs have created their own policies, FINRA's best execution rule was last updated in 2014 and the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board implemented a best

## Broker-Dealer SEC Regulatory Update

execution rule for municipal securities in 2016. To close this regulatory gap, on December 15, 2022, the SEC issued a [proposal](#) creating Regulation Best Execution as follows:

- Establish a best execution standard for brokers, dealers, government securities brokers, government securities dealers, and municipal securities dealers, collectively “broker-dealers.”
- Require broker-dealers to establish, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to comply with the best execution standard.
- Require more robust policies and procedures for broker-dealers that engage in certain conflicted transactions for or with a retail customer.
- Require broker-dealers to review the execution quality of their customer transactions at least quarterly.
- Exempt broker-dealers that qualify as “introducing brokers” from certain requirements if they establish, maintain, and enforce specified policies and procedures.
- Require broker-dealers to review their best execution policies and procedures at least annually and present a report detailing the results of such reviews to their boards of directors or equivalent governing bodies.

---

*In an April 2, 2024 speech, Gensler noted, “I believe a best execution standard is too central to the SEC’s mandate to protect investors, not to have on the books as a Commission rule.”*

---

More than 2,250 comment letters were received, including four form letters, primarily from individual investors and investor advocacy groups that supported the changes. Asset managers and pension funds with high concentrations of retail activity supported the changes. They cited increased costs due to lack of price discovery from payment-for-order-flow arrangements. Not surprisingly, firms like Citadel that pay for order flow vehemently opposed the proposed changes. Industry participants, trade groups, and NYSE and NASDAQ generally supported the need for improvement to the existing “weak” FINRA rule but pushed back hard on the need for a massive overhaul of the equities market (four proposals) and suggested a sequential approach to market reform with adequate time to study the impacts of each change. Several bond market participants cited potential unintended impacts on their market segment without editing changes to the proposal’s scope.

## 2. Order Competition

The December 14, 2022 [proposed rule](#) would enhance competition for the execution of marketable orders of individual investors. The rule would require certain orders of individual investors to be exposed to competition in fair and open auctions before they could be executed internally by any trading center that restricts order-by-order competition.

This proposal received more than 3,600 comment letters, including several form letters. Most market participants felt the proposal as written would not achieve the SEC’s intended goals and included significant areas for improvement. A slower approach to the overhaul of the equity market was a key theme.

## Broker-Dealer SEC Regulatory Update

### 3. Regulation NMS: Tick Size, Access Fees, & Odd-Lots

The [proposed rule](#) issued on December 14, 2022, would:

- Amend the minimum pricing increments (tick size) to establish a variable minimum pricing increment model that would apply to both the quoting and trading of NMS stocks, which are stocks listed on an NSE, regardless of trading venue.
- Reduce the access fee caps and require NSEs to make the amounts of all fees and rebates determinable at the time of execution.
- Accelerate the implementation of the round-lot and odd-lot information definitions adopted in 2020 under the Market Data Infrastructure Rules and amend the odd-lot information definition to require the identification of the best odd-lot order.

The SEC received almost 3,000 comment letters. Most respondents supported modernizing these rules with edits/modifications. Large pension funds supported these changes.

### 4. ATSS – Exchange Definition (Rule 3b-16)

On January 26, 2022, the SEC reissued a [proposal](#), first issued in September 2020, to expand and modernize Rule 3b-16, which governs ATSS. ATSS are trading systems for securities that meet the exchange definition under federal securities laws but are not required to register with the SEC as an NSE if the ATS complies with certain exemption conditions. The proposal would make the following updates:

- Expand Regulation ATS for ATSS that trade government securities, NMS stock, and other securities.
- Extend Regulation SCI to ATSS that trade government securities.
- Amend the SEC exchange definition to include communication protocol systems.

The initial proposal generated more than 300 responses. Most agreed with the first bullet point above. There was confusion over the application of Rule 15c2-11 to fixed income securities based on a no-action letter issued on September 24, 2021 and this ATS proposal. Much of the pushback concerned updating the “exchange” definition and a request for a definition of a communication protocol system. Blockchain and decentralized finance groups pushed back on SEC overreach in applying existing concepts to recent technology innovations.

On April 14, 2023, the SEC reopened the comment period. The reopening release reiterated the applicability of existing rules to platforms that trade crypto asset securities, including so-called “DeFi” systems, and provides supplemental information and economic analysis for systems that would be included in the new, proposed exchange definition. The reopening release also requested information and public comment on crypto asset securities trading on such systems and certain aspects of the proposed amendments applicable to all securities.

The SEC received an additional 2,000 comments on the re-exposure. Several comment letters cited the cost for smaller ATSS and a potential reduction in the number of firms.

**Resource:** [Changes for Alternative Trading Systems in 2024?](#)

## FORsights™

### Broker-Dealer SEC Regulatory Update

#### 5. NMS – Consolidated Audit Trail

Issued in August 2020, the [proposed amendments](#) would enhance the security of the CAT by making the following changes:

- Define the scope of the current information security program.
- Require the operating committee to establish and maintain a security-focused working group.
- Require the plan processor to create secure analytical workspaces; direct participants to use such workspaces to access and analyze personally identifiable information (PII) and CAT data obtained through the user-defined direct query and bulk extract tools; set forth requirements for the data extraction, security, implementation, and operational controls that will apply to such workspaces; and provide an exception process that will enable participants to use the user-defined direct query and bulk extract tools in other environments.
- Limit the amount of CAT data that can be extracted from the central repository outside of a secure analytical workspace and require the plan processor to implement more stringent monitoring controls on such data.
- Impose requirements related to the reporting of certain PII.
- Define the workflow process that should be applied to govern access to customer and account attributes that will still be reported to the central repository.
- Modify and supplement existing requirements relating to participant policies and procedures on the confidentiality of CAT data.
- Refine the existing requirement that CAT data be used only for regulatory or surveillance purposes.
- Codify existing practices and enhance the security of connectivity to the CAT infrastructure.
- Require the formal cyber incident response plan to incorporate corrective actions and breach notifications.
- Amend reporting requirements relating to firm designated IDs and allocation reports.

The proposal received 28 responses that soundly rejected collecting additional PII and questioned the SEC’s authority to make such changes.

## Conclusion

**Forvis Mazars** delivers extensive experience and skilled professionals to assist with your objectives. Our proactive approach includes candid and open communication to help address your financial reporting needs. We help broker-dealers, bank holding companies, and others across the capital markets with financial and nonfinancial regulatory reporting. From data origination through report remediation, we help solve clients’ complex regulatory reporting challenges. For more information, visit [forvismazars.us](http://forvismazars.us).

## Contributors

Jim Garner  
 Partner  
[jim.garner@us.forvismazars.com](mailto:jim.garner@us.forvismazars.com)



**FORsights™**

## **Broker-Dealer SEC Regulatory Update**

Anne Coughlan

Director

[anne.coughlan@us.forvismazars.com](mailto:anne.coughlan@us.forvismazars.com)